

Genetic appraisal of the *Culex sitiens*
subgroup in Australasia: major
arbovirus vectors

by

Stéphane Paul Gérard Hemmerter

B. Sc., M.Sc. (Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France)

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Science

Institute for the Biotechnology of Infectious Diseases



Sydney, Australia 2009

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.



Stéphane Hemmerter

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Dr. Nigel Beebe who welcomed me into his group and who always provided me valuable advice. Nigel, I am glad to have done my PhD with you and appreciate you letting me work independently while still being available to answer my questions and encourage new ideas. Thanks for letting me work on this topic and giving me the freedom to organise conferences and workshops. Nigel, I am very grateful for this.

Prof. Nick Smith, thank you for your total and constant support from the first day onwards and in valuable proof reading. Thanks for welcoming me into your team. I am also very grateful to have met Dr. Jan Šlapeta, your advice and your support was so much appreciated. You whetted my curiosity, challenged me, and pushed me. You also always find the right words to reassure me. Jan, your dynamism and enthusiasm are contagious and inspiring. Special thanks to Dr. Sheila Donnelly and Dr. Cheryl Johansen for proof reading drafts of my thesis and helping me to remove some Frenchness.

A number of organisations and individuals helped in collection of samples for this study: Dr. Bob Cooper (Australian Army Malaria Institute), Prof. Richard Russell and John Clancy (Department of Medical Entomology at Westmead Hospital), Dr. Cheryl Johansen (Arbovirus Surveillance and Research Laboratory, University of Western Australia), Dr. Andrew van den Hurk (Department of Microbiology and Parasitology, University of Queensland), Dr. Craig Williams (Division of Health Sciences, University of South Australia), Peter Whelan (Medical Entomology, Darwin). Thank you, Tony Sweeney for your assistance with the maps.

I would also like to acknowledge insights gained from the 2007 Workshop on Molecular Evolution at Woods Hole US. It was one of the most intense and useful course I attended. Thank you to Herr Prof. Dr. Axel Meyer for your advice and for accepting to co-organise the SMBE symposium on barcoding with me (Barcelona, Spain, 2008). Thank you Prof. Scott Edwards and Dr. Christopher Balakrishnan for your assistance with building the

input file for PHASE. Thanks to A/Prof Peter Beerli, A/Prof. Joseph Bielawski and Prof. David Swofford, for their time and advice.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, family, partner, friends and colleagues for their support and encouragement.

This work would not have been possible without these funding bodies: Institute for the Biotechnology of Infectious Diseases Scholarship and Travel Awards, Vice-Chancellor's Postgraduate Research Student Conference Fund, University of Queensland Scholarship, Faculty of Science Travel Award and National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Research Network for Parasitology Travel Award. Thanks to all of you for supporting my scholarship, conference travel and workshops.

PREFACE

Malaria, Japanese encephalitis, Chikungunya, Dengue fever... are responsible for millions of deaths. These diseases have one common point: they are transmitted by mosquitoes. These mosquitoes continually spread to new environments and at the same time become insecticide resistant. This creates a real threat of global disease transmission and presents a real challenge for science. What could be more exciting than undertaking a PhD on the other side of the world investigating the major virus vectors of Australasia?

English is not my first language so you will understand that I was a little confused when I arrived in Australia and I heard that people drink Cab Sav, go to Woop Woop and even adult men have barbies. And who can explain why when your alarm goes off, it goes on? Or why sun baking is not a new environmentally friendly way of cooking a cake?

When I arrived four years ago from France I never imagined I would write a thesis, produce several papers, participate in exciting workshops, organize a symposium in Barcelona and even win a prize for "outstanding presentation" at the Mosquito Control Association of Australia / Arbovirus Research Australia conference in English! I came to do a challenging PhD, knowing nothing about mosquito vectors nor evolutionary biology and only speaking broken English so it was a great honour to finish my PhD and win the Dr. Elizabeth N. Marks Award.

The question is would Dr Marks be concerned because a molecular phylogenetics won the prize?

Dr. Elizabeth Nesta Marks (Pat) was one of Australia's leading entomologists and malaria experts, she spent much of her life studying and classifying mosquitoes and discovering new species. Today I think she would have totally embraced molecular techniques and phylogenetics as supplementary tools to reveal mosquito diversity. I would have loved to have met her (with a chocolat chaud and croissants of course) and I am sure we

would both agree that phylogenetics is exciting and useful. Morphological identification will always be an important way to discriminate mosquitoes. There is no competition between the two fields but there is a synergy. Phylogenetics should not be seen as obscure and it is no more difficult to learn than anything else.

My PhD provides a good example of how collaboration can be fruitful: collaboration between disciplines (virology, entomology and evolutionary biology), collaboration between places (1 territory, 4 states and 4 countries) and collaboration between institutions (universities, public health institutes and the Department of Defense).

Finally I would like to thank everyone involved for their support, advice, guidance and for helping me to discover and love my research and Australia in general.

Stéphane

PREFACE

Le paludisme, l'encéphalite japonaise, Chikungunya, dengue, ... sont responsables de millions de morts. Ces maladies ont un point commun: elles sont transmises par les moustiques. Ces moustiques colonisent continuellement de nouveaux environnements tout en devenant résistants aux insecticides. Cela crée une menace réelle de transmission de maladie à l'échelle mondiale et représente un réel défi pour la science. Qu'est-ce qui pourrait être plus excitant que de faire un doctorat à l'autre bout du monde pour étudier les principaux vecteurs de virus de l'Australasie.

L'anglais n'est pas ma langue maternelle, alors vous comprendrez ma surprise lorsque je suis arrivé en Australie et j'ai entendu dire que les gens boivent du « Cab Sav », vont à « Woop Woop » et que même les hommes adultes ont des « Barbies ». Et qui peut m'expliquer pourquoi, lorsque votre réveil s'allume, on dit qu'il s'éteint? Pourquoi « cuire au soleil » n'est pas une nouvelle façon écologique de faire cuire un gâteau?

Quand je suis arrivé de France, il y a quatre ans, je n'aurais jamais pu imaginer que j'allais écrire une thèse, produire plusieurs articles scientifiques, participer à des formations passionnantes, organiser un symposium à Barcelone et même gagner le prix pour une "excellente présentation" lors de la conférence de l'«association de contrôle des moustiques d'Australie et de la recherche sur les arbovirus » et cela en anglais! Je suis venu pour faire un doctorat ambitieux, ne sachant rien sur les moustiques, ni en biologie de l'évolution et en parlant un mauvais anglais. C'est donc pour moi un grand honneur de finir ma thèse en remportant le Prix Dr. Elizabeth N. Marks.

La question est de savoir si le Dr. Marks s'inquiéterait du fait qu'un phylogénéticien moléculaire ait remporté le prix qui porte son nom?

Le Dr. Elizabeth Nesta Marques (Pat) a été reconnue comme l'une des plus grandes entomologistes et spécialiste du paludisme en Australie. Elle a passé une grande partie de sa

vie à étudier, à classer les moustiques et à découvrir de nouvelles espèces. Aujourd'hui, je pense qu'elle aurait totalement adopté les nouvelles techniques moléculaires et phylogénétiques en tant qu'outil permettant de révéler la diversité des moustiques. J'aurais bien aimé l'avoir rencontré (devant un chocolat chaud et avec des croissants bien sûr) et je suis sûr que nous aurions été tous les deux d'accord sur le fait que la phylogénétique est un domaine passionnant. La caractérisation morphologique sera toujours un moyen important de discrimination des moustiques. Il n'y a pas de concurrence entre les deux domaines mais il y a une synergie. La Phylogénétique ne doit pas être considérée comme obscure et elle n'est pas plus difficile à apprendre que tout autre chose si elle est bien expliquée

Ma thèse est un bon exemple de la façon dont une collaboration peut être fructueuse: la collaboration entre les disciplines (virologie, entomologie et biologie évolutive), la collaboration entre les lieux (un territoire, quatre états et quatre pays) et la collaboration entre les institutions (universités, instituts de santé publique et la Département de la défense).

Enfin, je tiens à remercier tout le monde pour leur soutien, conseils et assistance. Merci de m'avoir fait découvrir et aimer mes recherches ainsi que l'Australie.

Stéphane

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS iii

PREFACE (English) v

PREFACE (French) vii

PUBLICATIONS AND COMMUNICATION.....xiv

AWARDSxv

LIST OF FIGURESxvi

LIST OF TABLESxvii

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONxix

ABSTRACTxxi

Chapter 1.....

Introduction: Vectors, Arbovirus diseases and identification tools. 1

1.1 INTRODUCTION..... 2

1.2 THE *CULEX SITIENS* SUBGROUP..... 2

1.2.1 *Culex annulirostris* Skuse..... 4

1.2.1.1 Distribution 4

1.2.1.2 Biology..... 4

1.2.1.3 A vector of viruses 5

1.2.1.4 A vector of parasites..... 6

1.2.2 *Culex palpalis* Taylor..... 6

1.2.2.1 Distribution 7

1.2.2.2 Biology..... 7

1.2.2.3 A vector of viruses and parasites..... 7

1.2.3 *Culex sitiens* Wiedemann..... 7

1.2.3.1 Distribution 8

1.2.3.2 Biology..... 8

1.2.3.3 A vector of viruses 9

1.3 *CULEX* AS A VECTOR OF ARBOVIRUSES 9

1.3.1 The global threat of Arboviruses 9

1.3.2 Arboviruses: an Australian problem 11

1.3.2.1 Alphaviruses..... 11

1.3.2.1.1 Ross River virus 11

1.3.2.1.2	Barmah Forest virus	13
1.3.2.2	Flaviviruses	14
1.3.2.2.1	Japanese encephalitis virus.....	14
1.3.2.2.2	Murray Valley encephalitis virus	18
1.3.2.2.3	Kunjin virus.....	19
1.4	Species identification	20
1.4.1	What is a species?	20
1.4.2	Why identify species?.....	21
1.4.3	Morphological identification	21
1.4.4	Cytogenetics	22
1.4.5	Protein electrophoresis.....	23
1.4.6	DNA- based techniques	23
1.4.6.1	Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA analysis (RAPD)	24
1.4.6.2	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms (RFLP)	24
1.4.6.3	Allele –specific PCR (ASPCR).....	25
1.4.6.4	Phylogenetic methods	26
1.4.6.4.1	Mitochondrial versus nuclear marker.....	27
1.4.6.4.2	Mitochondrial marker: the complex oxidase I (<i>COI</i>), DNA barcoding ..	28
1.4.6.4.3	Nuclear marker: the acetylcholinesterase 2 (<i>ace-2</i>).....	30
1.5	Thesis objectives	32

Chapter 2 Evaluation of the taxonomic characters.....

that distinguish *Cx. annulirostris* and *Cx. palpalis*.33

2.1	Introduction	34
2.2	Materials and methods	36
2.2.1	Mosquito collection	36
2.2.2	Morphological identification	36
2.2.3	Molecular identification.....	42
2.2.3.1	DNA extraction	42
2.2.3.2	PCR-RFLP (Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism)	42
2.3	Results.....	43
2.3.1	Mosquito collection and morphological identification.	43

2.3.2	Comparison of morphological and molecular identification.	44
2.3.2.1	Queensland collection	45
2.3.2.2	Northern Territory collection	45
2.3.2.3	Western Australia collection	45
2.3.2.4	South Australia collection	45
2.3.2.5	New South Wales collection	48
2.3.2.6	Papua New Guinea collection	49
2.3.2.7	Solomon Islands collection	49
2.3.2.8	Timor Leste collection	49
2.3.2.9	Overall comparison of morphological and molecular identifications	49
2.3.3	Evaluation of morphological characters to improve the taxonomic keys	53
2.3.3.1	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification ..	53
2.3.3.2	Comparison of morphological characters and molecular identification.....	53
2.4	Discussion	58
2.4.1	Comparison of morphology and molecular identification throughout Australasia.....	58
2.4.2	Investigations of morphological characters to identify <i>Cx. annulirostris</i> and <i>Cx. palpalis</i>	59
2.5	Conclusion	60

Chapter 3. Genetic diversity of *Culex* mosquitoes with reference to the southern limit of the Japanese encephalitis virus.61

3.1	Introduction.....	62
3.2	Materials and methods	64
3.2.1	Specimen collection and identification.....	64
3.2.2	<i>COI</i> amplification	69
3.2.3	Sequence and phylogenetics analysis	70
3.2.4	Alternative tree topology testing using haplotypes subsets	72
3.3	Results.....	76
3.3.1	Mosquito identification: morphology versus rDNA ITS1 and <i>COI</i>	76
3.3.2	Phylogenetics analysis:.....	77
3.3.3	Genetic relationships within and between identified <i>COI</i> lineages.	82

3.3.4	Alternative tree topologies.....	83
3.4	Discussion	85
3.4.1	Mosquito phylogeography in Australia	89
3.4.2	DNA Barcoding of closely related mosquito taxa	90
3.4.3	<i>COI</i> as a marker for phylogeographic studies.....	91
3.4.4	Conclusion	91

Chapter 4.....

Acetylcholine esterase 2 as a nuclear marker for the *Culex sitiens* subgroup.....93

4.1	Introduction.....	94
4.2	Materials and methods	95
4.2.1	Specimen collection and identification.....	95
4.2.2	Amplification and sequencing of <i>ace-2</i>	97
4.2.3	Amplification and sequencing of <i>COI</i>	97
4.2.4	Assembly and reconstruction of <i>ace-2</i> haplotypes	98
4.2.5	Alignment, composition and nucleotide diversity	98
4.2.6	Phylogenetic analysis.....	99
4.2.6.1	Maximum likelihood analysis	99
4.2.6.2	Bayesian analysis	99
4.2.6.3	Alternative tree topology testing using haplotype subsets	100
4.3	Results.....	101
4.3.1	Primer selection and sequencing.....	101
4.3.2	Nucleotide diversity within the <i>ace-2</i> marker region	103
4.3.3	<i>ace-2</i> phylogeny and phylogeography	104
4.3.4	<i>COI</i> phylogeny and phylogeography	106
4.3.5	Phylogenetic status of the <i>Cx. annulirostris</i> “ann PNG-1” lineage	108
4.3.6	Amino acid changes in <i>ace-2</i>	110
4.4	Discussion	114
4.4.1	Phylogeny and phylogeography of the <i>ace-2</i> marker and <i>COI</i>	114
4.4.2	The <i>ace-2</i> nuclear marker	116
4.4.3	Just another molecular marker for the identification of insects?	117
4.5	Conclusions	118

Chapter 5. Development of a Molecular Identification tool	
to discriminate members of the <i>Culex annulirostris</i> complex	120
5.1 Introduction.....	121
5.2 Materials and methods	122
5.2.1 Mosquito collection	122
5.2.2 Morphospecies identification.....	123
5.2.3 Molecular identification.....	123
5.2.3.1 DNA extraction	123
5.2.4 Multiplex PCR identification tool.....	124
5.3 Results.....	125
5.3.1 Primer design and PCR optimization.....	125
5.3.2 Specificity of the multiplex PCR using field-collected mosquitoes	126
5.3.3 Verification of the sensitivity of the multiplex.	128
5.4 Discussion	129
5.4.1 Discrimination of <i>Cx. annulirostris</i> PNG1 from <i>Cx. annulirostris</i>	129
5.5 Conclusion	130
 Chapter 6.....	
Discussion, Conclusions and Future Directions.....	131
6.1 <i>Culex</i> biodiversity in Australasia	132
6.2 Understanding Japanese encephalitis virus distribution in Australasia: past, present and future.....	133
6.3 Is <i>Culex annulirostris</i> PNG1 a new species?	134
6.4 Barcoding <i>Culex</i> in Australia	134
6.5 Importance of the Multiplex PCR tool for further studies	136
Appendices.....	139
References.....	139

JOURNAL PUBLICATION

Hemmerter, S., Slapeta, J. & Beebe, N. 2009, Resolving genetic diversity in Australasian *Culex* mosquitoes: incongruence between the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase 1 and nuclear acetylcholine esterase 2, *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **50**:317-325.

Hemmerter, S., J. Slapeta, A. van den Hurk, R. Cooper, P. Whelan, R. Russell, C. Johansen, and N. Beebe. 2007. A curious coincidence: mosquito biodiversity and the limits of the Japanese encephalitis virus in Australasia. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **7**:100.

Johansen, C. A., V. Susai, R. A. Hall, J. S. Mackenzie, D. C. Clark, F. J. May, S. Hemmerter, D. W. Smith, and A. K. Broom. 2007. Genetic and phenotypic differences between isolates of Murray Valley encephalitis virus in Western Australia, 1972-2003. *Virus Genes* **35**:147-154.

SYMPOSIUM ORGANISATION AND CHAIRING

Symposium: "What would/should we do with a million DNA barcodes?" with Prof. Dr. Axel Meyer; Annual meeting of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution, (Barcelona, Spain, 5-8 June 2008)

INVITED PRESENTATIONS

Faculty of Veterinary Science seminar

"How mosquito phylogenetics helps us to understand the distribution of Japanese encephalitis"

University of Sydney (Sydney, Australia, 4th December 2008)

Workshop Evolution across Scales (integrating Genomics, Geosciences and Evolutionary Biology).

"How phylogenetics resolves epidemiological mystery?"

(University of Potsdam, Germany, 26th -27th May 2008)

Invited speaker at the Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Prof. Dr. Simone Sommer laboratory seminar (Berlin, Germany, 28th May 2008)

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

Stéphane Hemmerter, Jan Šlapeta, Nigel W. Beebe

25th Annual Royal North Shore Hospital·UTS·USYD·Kolling Scientific Research Meeting
How biotechnology resolves an epidemiological mystery? (Sydney, Australia, 18th-19th November 2008)

Stéphane Hemmerter, Jan Šlapeta, Nigel W. Beebe

7th Conference of the Mosquito Control Association of Australia / 10th Arbovirus research in Australia Symposium

How mosquito phylogenetics helps us to understand the distribution of Japanese encephalitis (Coffs Harbour, Australia, 15th-19th September 2008)

Stéphane Hemmerter, Jan Šlapeta, Nigel W. Beebe

A curious coincidence: mosquito biodiversity and the limits of the Japanese encephalitis virus in Australasia.

11th Congress of The European Society for Evolutionary Biology (Uppsala, Sweden, 20-25 August 2007)

Stéphane Hemmerter, Jan Šlapeta, Nigel W. Beebe

Insight into the limits of the Japanese encephalitis virus through a better understanding of the biodiversity of its mosquito vector.

54th Annual Conference of the Genetics Society of AustralAsia (Sydney, Australia, 26-29 June 2007)

Stéphane Hemmerter, Jan Šlapeta, Andrew F. van den Hurk, Robert D. Cooper, Peter I.

Whelan, Richard C. Russell, Cheryl A. Johansen, Nigel W. Beebe

Phylogeography of *Culex annulirostris* and *Culex palpalis*: arbovirus vector of Australia and Papua New Guinea.

7th Conference of the Mosquito Control Association of Australia (Cairns, Australia, 15-18 August 2006)

POSTER PRESENTATION

Hemmerter, S., Šlapeta, J., Beebe, N.

A curious coincidence: mosquito biodiversity and the limits of the Japanese encephalitis virus in Australasia.

Annual meeting of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution (Barcelona, Spain, 5-8 June 2008)

AWARDS

John W. Hambley Prize for the best UTS postgraduate student at the 25th Annual Royal North Shore Hospital·UTS·USYD·Kolling Scientific Research Meeting (Sydney, Australia, 18th-19th November 2008)

Dr. Elizabeth N. Marks Award for “outstanding student presentation” at the Mosquito Control Association of Australia (Coffs Harbour, Australia, 15th-19th September 2008)

Student Plenary Prize at the 54th Conference of the Genetics Society of Australasia (Sydney, Australia, 26-29 June 2007)

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1: Introduction: Vectors, Arbovirus diseases and identification tools

Figure 1.1	Worldwide distribution of arboviruses.....	9
Figure 1.2	Number of Ross River virus infections in Australia.	12

Chapter 2: Evaluation of the taxonomic characters that distinguish *Cx. annulirostris* and *Cx. palpalis*

Figure 2.1	Map of mosquito collection sites in Australasia.....	37
Figure 2.2	Map showing morphological and molecular identification comparisons of <i>Cx. annulirostris</i> , <i>Cx. palpalis</i> and <i>Cx. sitiens</i> in Australasia.....	52
Figure 2.3	Summary of the morphological characters and the molecular identification...57	

Chapter 3: Genetic diversity of the *Culex* mosquitoes with reference to the southern limits of the Japanese encephalitis virus

Figure 3.1	Nucleotide composition of <i>COI</i> gene.	78
Figure 3.2	Phylogenetic tree of <i>Culex</i> spp. based on <i>COI</i> gene sequence.....	79
Figure 3.3	Map of mosquito collection sites in Australasia.....	81
Figure 3.4	Intraspecific vs. interspecific distance of <i>COI</i> sequences	84
Figure 3.5	Phylogenetic relationship of <i>COI</i> lineages.	86

Chapter 4: Acetylcholine esterase 2 as a nuclear marker for the *Culex sitiens* subgroup

Figure 4.1	Structure of AChE and diversity of <i>ace-2</i>	102
Figure 4.2	Phylogenetic tree of <i>Culex</i> spp. based on <i>ace-2</i> and <i>COI</i> markers.....	105
Figure 4.3	Phylogeography of <i>Cx. annulirostris</i> and <i>Cx. palpalis</i>	107
Figure 4.4	The <i>ace-2</i> and <i>COI</i> sequence divergence.	109
Figure 4.5	Phylogenetic tree of <i>Culex</i> spp. based on <i>ace-2</i> and <i>COI</i> concatenated.....	112
Figure 4.6	Multiple sequence alignments of the acetylcholinesterase-2 gene	113

Chapter 5: Development of a Molecular Identification tool to discriminate members of *Culex annulirostris* complex

Figure 5.1	Map of <i>Culex</i> collection sites in Australasia.	122
Figure 5.2	Schematic diagram of the multiplex PCR	126
Figure 5.3	Multiplex PCR banding pattern of <i>Culex</i> species.....	128
Figure 5.4	Sensitivity test for the <i>Culex</i> multiplex.	129

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1: Introduction: Vectors, Arbovirus diseases and identification tools

Table 1.1	Taxonomic classification of the <i>Culex sitiens</i> subgroup	3
-----------	---	---

Chapter 2: Evaluation of the taxonomic characters that distinguish *Cx. annulirostris* and *Cx. palpalis*

Table 2.1	<i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys from Queensland.	38
Table 2.2	<i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys from Northern Australia and PNG.	39
Table 2.3	<i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys from Australia.	39
Table 2.4	<i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys from Western Australia.	40
Table 2.5	<i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys South-eastern Australia	40
Table 2.6	<i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys from Northern Territory.	41
Table 2.7	Summary of the <i>Culex</i> taxonomic keys.	44
Table 2.8	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in Queensland.	46
Table 2.9	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in the Northern Territory	47
Table 2.10	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in Western Australia	48
Table 2.11	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in South Australia	48
Table 2.12	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in New South Wales	50
Table 2.13	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in Papua New Guinea	50
Table 2.14	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in the Solomon Islands	51
Table 2.15	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification of <i>Culex</i> mosquitoes from different sites in Timor Leste	51
Table 2.16	Comparison of morphological identification and molecular identification.....	54
Table 2.17	Taxonomic keys derived from both morphology and molecular identification.....	57

Chapter 3: Genetic diversity of *Culex* mosquitoes with reference to the southern limits of the Japanese encephalitis virus

Table 3.1	Data summary of mosquitoes used in Chapter 3.	65
Table 3.2	List of <i>Culex</i> COI haplotypes.	73
Table 3.3	Molecular diversity for the different lineages identified.	82
Table 3.4	Confidence of phylogenetic tree selection.....	87

Chapter 4: Acetylcholine esterase 2 as a nuclear marker for the *Culex sitiens* subgroup

Table 4.1	Summary of mosquitoes analysed in Chapter 4.	96
Table 4.2	Confidence values from the AU and SH tests	111

Chapter 5: Development of a Molecular Identification tool to discriminate members of *Culex annulirostris* complex

Table 5.1	Primer sequences used in this study.	124
Table 5.2	Location of the mosquitoes included in Chapter 5.	127

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Full name
<i>ace</i>	acetylcholinesterase gene
AChE	acetylcholinesterase protein
<i>ae</i>	<i>Aedes</i>
AMI	Australian Army Malaria Institute
ANIC	Australian National Insect Collection
ASPCR	allele-specific PCR
BFV	Barmah Forest virus
BLAST	basic local alignment search tool
BOLD	Barcode of Life Data systems
bp	base pair
CDC	Centre for Disease Control
<i>COI</i>	cytochrome oxidase I
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
<i>Cx</i>	<i>Culex</i>
DMSO	dimethyl-sulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNase	deoxyribonuclease
dNTP	deoxynucleoside triphosphate
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid
EtOH	ethanol
EVS	encephalitis virus surveillance
ITS	internal transcribed spacer
JEV	Japanese encephalitis virus
Kac	Potassium acetate
kb	kilobases
KUNV	Kunjin virus
min	minutes
MRN	Mosquitoes Reference Number
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
ml	millilitre
ML	Maximum Likelihood
MVEV	Murray Valley encephalitis virus
mya	million years ago
NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology Information
NNDSS	National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System
NSW	New South Wales
nrDNA	nuclear DNA
NT	Northern Territory
NUMTS	nuclear mitochondrial DNA
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
RAPD	Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA
rDNA	ribosomal DNA
RFLP	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms

PAMSA	PCR amplification of multiple specific allele
PNG	Papua New Guinea
rpm	revolutions per minute
RRV	Ross River virus
SA	South Australia
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
T _m	melting temperature
UV	ultraviolet
W	Watt
WA	Western Australia
WNV	West Nile virus
www	world wide web

ABSTRACT

Culex annulirostris Skuse, *Culex palpalis* Taylor and *Culex sitiens* Wiedemann are members of the *Culex sitiens* subgroup that exist in Australasia. They are widely distributed and regularly comprise over the half of mosquitoes collected from arbovirus surveys and field collections in Australia and PNG. From these mosquitoes, *Cx. annulirostris* is the major vector of endemic arboviruses in Australia and is also responsible for the establishment of the Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) in southern Papua New Guinea (PNG) as well as JEV incursions into northern Australia.

Papua New Guinea and mainland Australia are separated by a small stretch of water, the Torres Strait, and its islands. While there has been regular JEV activity on these islands, JEV has not established on mainland Australia despite an abundance of its vector *Cx. annulirostris* and porcine amplifying hosts. Despite the public health significance of this mosquito and the fact that its adults show overlapping morphology with close relative *Cx. palpalis*, its evolution and genetic structure remain undetermined. I address a hypothesis that there is significant genetic diversity in *Cx. annulirostris* and that the identification of this diversity will shed light on the paradox that JEV can cycle on an island 70 km from mainland Australia while not establishing in Australia itself.

My study assessed the biodiversity within *Cx. annulirostris* and *Cx. palpalis* using a extensive collection of mosquitoes and analysing both mitochondrial (*COI*) and a nuclear (*ace-2*) markers using phylogenetic reconstruction and hypothesis testing. I provide evidence of subdivision of these two species into several geographically delimited lineages, which indicates the presence of highly divergent populations or cryptic species. However I found lack of congruence between nuclear and mitochondrial markers. While I found eight divergent lineages geographically restricted within *Cx. annulirostris* and *Cx. palpalis* at the mitochondrial level, only four lineages appeared at the nuclear level. Two lineages appeared consistent using both markers: *Cx. palpalis* S-AUS and *Cx.annulirostris* PNG1.

Notably, the southern limit of the *Cx. annulirostris* PNG1 lineage coincides exactly with the current southern limit of JEV activity in Australasia suggesting that biological variation in this lineage may be the key to why JEV has not yet established yet on mainland Australia.

I assessed the discrimination power of *COI* barcoding for *Culex* mosquitoes and conclude that DNA barcoding using *COI* may actually overestimate the diversity of *Culex* mosquitoes in Australasia and should be applied cautiously with support from nuclear DNA, such as the polymorphic *ace-2* gene.

Finally I designed and developed a PCR-based diagnostic tool to discriminate *Cx. annulirostris* PNG1 from its morphologically identical relative. This tool will greatly assist identification and surveillance of *Cx. annulirostris* PNG1 into Australia mainland in the future as it may move south into Australia as a consequence of climate change or population expansion.